Shakespeare Bulletin publishes reviews of academic books about early modern performance studies and theater history. Reviews reflect thoughtfully upon a book’s contributions to this field. They offer a valuable service to potential readers by keeping them informed about new research.

Your job as a reviewer is to get to the heart of the book’s argument or central point and evaluate its persuasiveness. Reviewers might also assess the book’s originality, writing style, organization, research methodology, citations, and illustrations. There is no “right way” to write a book review, nor is there one voice you must use. Reviewers are welcome to experiment with form and style. The following advice about audience and approach might be useful:

- Reviews address not a book’s author, but its potential readers. The readers of Shakespeare Bulletin will want to know why this book might interest them and how it contributes to the field.
- Although reviews can situate books within broader scholarly conversations, they should focus on the book under review. Reviews are not the place to discuss the reviewer’s own work or refute the book’s claims at length; scholarly articles are better suited to those approaches.
- Try to meet the book on its own terms. Focus on its purposes rather than your own preferences—what the book tries to do rather than what it might have done.
- Keep summary to a minimum. Instead, evaluate and analyze.
- Back up your claims with evidence from the book under review.
- Reviews are meant to show your opinion about the book. In most cases, “I” is preferable to “we.”
- Reviews should be conducted in a spirit of generous collegiality. You can criticize the book, but Shakespeare Bulletin reviews are not the place for performative combativeness or unkindness.

Please format the beginning of your review like this:


LISE GEDDES
Adelphi University

Unfixable Forms: Disability, Performance, and Early Modern English Theater by Katherine Schaap Williams is an ambitious book with two competing aims. Firstly, the project
examines how representations of disability in early modern theater point us at social formations and cultural issues that are ostensibly not about disability. Secondly, the book aims to think about how scripted disability draws attention to both the theatricality of performance and the body of the actor, therefore enabling Williams “to theorize theatricality itself as a medium” (3). The result is a rich examination of early modern drama that destabilizes notions of disability, unfixing them, as the title suggests, from biological essentialist understandings of what the body can and cannot do in society.
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